4	THE COURT: Mr. Stewart, do you wish to make a
5	statement to me on your own behalf before I impose
6	sentence?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: You may.
9	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. Your Honor, there are a
10	number of statements that you made in what you've read
11	there that are incorrect. And I'd just like, for the
12	record, to clarify.
13	With respect to my nickname, C. Alfred, I have used
14	that since 1989. It is not special to IRP. So my middle
15	name is Alfred. You see Alfred on occasion doing
16	business. And that is nothing new. C. Alfred.
17	I would like to say that we did make sales of CILC
18	software to agencies; more than one. The evidence is in
19	discovery. So if you haven't seen that, perhaps, I don't
20	know, the Government should have shared that with you. I
21	don't know how that statement got into your records that
22	we haven't made sales.
23	THE COURT: Well, I actually meant you hadn't made
24	sales to any of the agencies you told the companies you
25	had made sales to.

DARLENE M. MARTINEZ, RMR, CRR United States District Court For the District of Colorado

1 I don't remember any of the THE DEFENDANT: 2 companies talking about sales of software that we said we 3 had made to agencies that we didn't make. But I would 4 just like to continue with my statement, Judge. 5 And I just want to clarify some of these things, 6 just to make it clear for the record. The way that --7 along those lines, the government -- the way the 8 government buys software, is the government contracts are 9 structured in terms of a bidding process. They do their 10 There's nothing been built. requirements. There is 11 nothing been made. Then they chose a company to construct 12 for them from scratch software capabilities according to 13 their requirements that they have defined, and then they 14 go on to implementation. So that's the normal process. 15 We actually have software that has functionality 16 features and was demonstrated to the government, which 17 they absolutely loved. And that's why they were so very 18 positive on continuing to engage with us to move the 19 So that's just a clarification I would process forward. 20 like to make. 21 And, you know, coming to this Court, I remember the 22 case with Lawanna Clark and that situation, and we came 23 here having seen that. We didn't have any anticipation 24 for justice of any sort. And because of what we saw in 25 that case, that even though the Court saw that she had

- 1 evidence to present that that was not her handwriting and
- 2 that she did not lie; that she did say that that is not my
- 3 handwriting, the Court saw that and would not vacate that
- 4 sentence, but sent her to prison; a federal penitentiary
- 5 in Arizona, even though it had that information in front
- 6 of it.
- 7 So, in that sense, we really were not
- 8 disillusioned. But we did want to give the process the
- 9 opportunity to work for us. And, you know, going through
- 10 the trial, I didn't say much. At the end of the
- 11 situation, I just imagine the jury with the Scales of
- 12 Justice, holding it up, trying to weigh evidence.
- And on the second day, they asked us, is there
- 14 anything more? Do you have any more evidence that we
- 15 might consider? And on the Government's side, all of
- 16 their evidence is admitted. And so it is on the scale,
- and obviously the scale is tilted one way.
- And on our side, even though we saw impeachment
- 19 after impeachment of the Government's witnesses, the
- documentation of that and other things that we wanted to
- 21 get into the hands of the jury was not allowed in. So
- 22 that meant that they had no choice but to come back with a
- guilty verdict, because they had no evidence from the
- 24 defense. So they couldn't do nothing but weigh in the
- 25 Government's favor and call us quilty, according to all of

- 1 the theories that Mr. Kirsch has so articulated.
- 2 But two points on the witnesses. Mr. Donald
- 3 Crockett. I must identify the Government's theme of
- 4 saying that we had contracts and pending contracts, lying
- 5 to people and misleading them so they can get a contract
- 6 with us for services.
- 7 Mr. Donald Crockett took the witness stand, under
- 8 oath, and when led by the prosecution as to -- because I
- 9 was the one that talked to him. Did he not tell you that
- 10 he had contract? Well, Mr. Crockett denied that under
- oath and said, no, no, no, no. Let me clarify, he did
- not tell me he already had a contract, he had an impending
- 13 contract. We lost money. I regret that. So do I.
- I regret that CTG lost their money. And we tried
- 15 to get -- to pay them, but we were black listed. Followed
- 16 around by the Government. Every time we tried to make a
- dollar to be able to fulfill these obligations, we had the
- 18 Government0was right behind us to make sure we didn't make
- 19 any sales.
- So, if they wanted to help us, they could have put
- in a good word, but that's not what they did. They black
- listed us, and put in bad words everywhere we went, to
- 23 make sure we didn't make any sales. That is what
- 24 Mr. Harper was talking about, as far as a "self-fulfilling
- 25 prophecy."

- 1 So, Mr. Crockett clarified, he was one of the
- 2 earliest companies that complained to the Government about
- 3 what we were doing. He had every opportunity to say that
- 4 Clinton Stewart deceived me, he defrauded me. He didn't
- 5 say that on the witness stand. He indicated that was not
- 6 the case, even though he wanted his money back. And I
- 7 certainly want to get him his money back.
- 8 Mr. Scott Boe, Boecore, sat on the witness stand,
- 9 and he talked about the misleading representations made to
- 10 him by Mr. David Zirpolo. Then, during the time Suneeta
- 11 Hazra asked him to identify Mr. David Zirpolo, he couldn't
- 12 do it. He looked over at the defense table. Mr. Zirpolo
- is the only white man sitting at the table, and he
- 14 couldn't identify Mr. Zirpolo.
- So the credibility you mentioned of these
- witnesses, I fail to see, when they were consistently
- impeached. The preponderance of impeachment is what I
- 18 would say, because there were so many of them. It took
- 19 the courtroom almost out of order. There was laughter all
- 20 over the courtroom when one of the witnesses was getting
- 21 ready to be impeached. But none of that documentation of
- 22 those facts got to the jury. So none of this stuff adds
- 23 up. No evidence gets to the jury; the odds are stacking
- 24 against us.
- Twelve marshals present at the reading of the

- 1 verdict. Makes us think that everybody but us knew what
- 2 the verdict was going to be, including the 12 marshals
- 3 that were in the room. So it makes us suspicious as to,
- 4 you know, we're not going to get a fair trial here.
- 5 And then to put a gag order on the jurors, which we
- 6 have obeyed, is very strange to us. Jurors talk to the
- 7 public all of the time. But, in this case, they can't
- 8 talk to us. And so it's just -- we're quite amazed and
- 9 astounded at the vendetta against us. We consider it to
- 10 be phenomenal.
- If you feel that strong language, well, the
- 12 President has said in the Inslaw case, where there was a
- 13 congressional hearing, where the Government stole software
- from this company and actually committed a number of
- 15 atrocities, that is a matter of history. We feel like the
- 16 same thing is happening to us.
- Now, with respect to our request for a transcript,
- 18 I would like to go there. We requested a transcript that
- we might get a rehearsal and get in writing the things
- 20 that we heard during the course of the trial. Now, the
- 21 Supreme Court Justice holds that accurate and timely
- 22 recording of the transcription of trial proceedings is
- 23 crucial to the fair, prompt and official judicial review
- 24 of cases. As a matter of fact, they have identified the
- 25 transcripts are the life blood of the judicial appeals

- 1 process. Where the transcript is incomplete, inaccurate
- or unavailable, the interest of justice and the people's
- 3 faith in a fair and efficient court system are harmed.
- 4 So, in as much as the transcript had been destroyed
- 5 by a court officer, prior to the adjudication in a
- 6 criminal case, we feel this is a violation -- a direct
- 7 violation of Title 18, that we're being prosecuted under
- 8 Section 1506, which requires that whoever steals, takes
- 9 away, alters, falsifies, or otherwise avoids any record,
- 10 process or other proceedings, in any court of the United
- 11 States where any judgment is reversed, made void, or does
- 12 not take effect, shall be fined under this title of
- imprisonment for 5 years or both.
- So, we see that the guidelines are being followed
- in a lot of things here, and in the majority of things
- here, but not the guidelines that are being followed with
- 17 regard to self reporting.
- So, if the Government, you know, wanted our
- 19 software, they could have easily purchased our software.
- 20 But we feel they didn't want to see a black company earn
- 21 that kind of money for the software, because it wasn't
- 22 cheap. But the vendetta we are seeing against ourselves,
- just it really underscores what we saw before we came in
- 24 during the trial; that Lawanna Clark didn't get a fair
- 25 trial.

- 1 The Judge saw that the evidence was on the table
- 2 that showed that she should not have been convicted. The
- 3 Government already knew that. And we're not likely to
- 4 look at this picture -- we can't get a fair trial here.
- 5 That is what we mean by the new Jim Crow that Mr. Harper
- 6 was talking about. The lynching is just in a different
- 7 forum now.
- 8 So the cards are already stacked up against you.
- 9 You go in there, and try as you may, you know -- I mean,
- 10 even if they have a witness like Samuel K. Thurman,
- 11 talking about executives turning around placards, he
- 12 wasn't an executive at IRP. At the end of his testimony,
- 13 the Government asked him, did he believe that the company
- 14 was defrauding staffing companies. He said, I don't know
- 15 what I believe. His testimony is not credible. His
- 16 testimony is just plain old not credible. So it is
- 17 horrible coming into a court of law and find that there is
- 18 a vendetta against us.
- And that's what we mean by the new lynching
- 20 process. That is all I have, Your Honor.

^ -