



A Just Cause Raises the Banner For Justice!

There is A Need That Voices Be Heard Against Injustice, Says A Just Cause Advocacy. Will You Be That Voice?

A Just Cause is reaching out in hopes someone will do something with this story about the IRP6, case number 09-cr-00266-CMA. Federal Judge Christine Arguello is denying public access to false testimony of codefendant Gary Walker's entire habeas proceeding.

Sealing an entire habeas proceeding is unprecedented and virtually never happens and Federal Judge Christine Arguello did so to hide her wrongdoing. In sealing the proceeding Judge Arguello claimed she did it to protect Walker from church members but trial transcripts show Judge Arguello telling Walker he was alienated from his family and church members and no one would speak or contact him because his mother-in-law Pastor, Rose Banks, prohibited them from doing so. Walker told her this. Arguello also claimed Pastor Banks controlled the minds of Walker, his family, the codefendants, every church member and Walker's attorney, Gwendolyn Lawson, who also attended the church. Judge Arguello knows none of these people and cannot possibly know what is in their mind. This must be the most outrageous, nonsensical claims ever made by a judge. The question is, how many defendants have you heard were released from prison after claiming their mother-in-law Pastor controlled their mind and coerced them into firing his attorney? A Just Cause would think you might be interested in filing a motion to gain access to records from this kangaroo court. Do a search on Google for a recent AJC Press Release (PR) titled "Impeachment Sought Against Colorado Federal Judge for Intentionally Violating Federal Laws" or contact Lamont Banks, Executive Director of A Just Cause and he will send it to you.

The PR discusses how Federal Judge Christine Arguello filed a complaint against the attorney who jointly represented five of the IRP6 defendants, accusing her of intentionally seizing an exhibit notebook and surreptitiously recording proceedings. The Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel investigated Judge Arguello's allegations and found they had no merit and dismissed the complaint. Why would Judge Arguello be concerned about recording of a habeas proceeding that was held in public? Being it was held in public, why won't she release transcripts to the public? Why is Walker fighting to have the proceedings remain sealed if he told the truth? Does that not raise suspicions in your mind? Arguello claims she sealed proceedings to protect Walker and former disgruntled members who testified from the church. Judge Arguello knows that not a single person in the church or our family as spoken to or had any contact with Walker, except for his wife during divorce proceedings, which were finalized in November 2017. The question is, How does Arguello know this? Again, because Walker told her and Judge Arguello confirmed it in the hearing as mentioned above. Yet Judge Arguello still restricts public access to court records on the unsupported claim that the church and its members pose some type of threat to Walker's health and well-being. Walker has never filed a restraining order against his family, Pastor Banks or anyone in the church because they don't talk to him and don't wish to talk to or see him.

Have you also pondered why Judge Sorokin became so intimately involved in defending these defendants? When Judge Sorokin told President Obama he feared a great injustice had been done, he did so based on the facts and evidence that show clearly that the IRP5 we were innocent and that the government and Judge Arguello had engaged in misconduct.

A Just Cause is shocked and outraged of the amount of wrongdoing done to these men, the IRP5 is absolutely staggering! Have you thought about how the only critical portion of a transcript that is missing from a 17-day trial is related to where we accused Judge Arguello of forcing one of the defendants to testify under threat of resting the defenses case? Does that not raise suspicions in your mind? Judge Arguello speculated that maybe the court reporter's headphones fell off or didn't hear everything and stopped transcribing. If we were talking that low how did she record any of sidebar? Why didn't the court reporter tell Judge Arguello she couldn't hear and needed parties to speak up as the record reflects she did many times in trial? Does it bother you that the defense couldn't challenge their 5th Amendment right and the constitutional rights of these men, would never lead to a fair trial because a portion of the transcript mysteriously disappeared? Why isn't that a story in itself, especially since the issue greatly disturbed Judge Sarokin? Why would Judge Sarokin ask President Obama to grant clemency for defendants he's never met? Because the facts, evidence and trial record show these men to be innocent and the government and courts engaged in misconduct? Do you believe Sarokin, who is independent in this whole matter, doesn't know the law or is lying?

What President Trump says about the FBI and DOJ being corrupt rings true with a lot of people. Definitely since these men and their families have lived and continue to live it. When American citizens comprise 25% of the world's prison population, I guarantee many Americans have been treated unfairly by the justice system or know someone who has. Our institutions have damaged their own reputations by treating people unfairly and not correcting injustices like ours. You have no idea how hard we tried to get the local Colorado Springs and Denver media to cover our story and to challenge us against the claims we were making against the government and Judge Arguello. Guilty people don't do that. Every time a reporter started talking to us, all of sudden they went silent and wouldn't return a single call. What that tells us is that Colorado has a crony, state-controlled media that reports on stories that the government authorizes and kills stories the government says kill. As Dr. Alan Bean said in his report, the government's case can't stand up to scrutiny and the government knows it and does everything to keep the truth from getting out. It's funny though, when a story about Sarokin was published in the Washington Post in 2016, the Denver Post immediately contacted the family and stated they knew nothing about the case. But when opportunity was given to discuss the story further, predictably the Denver Post went silent and wouldn't return a call. They simply were not going to offend their friends in government.

Everyone says Trump is unduly critical of the media, but in our case those criticisms of biased, selective reporting by the Colorado media rings true. When people see the media report stories slanted heavily in a certain direction and many stories go unreported they tend to believe Trump is telling the truth, at least to some degree.

Finally, there is the angle of the government scuttling our business with the Philadelphia Police Department and Philadelphia Inspector General's Office. The email communications about an "impending" contract with both agencies are irrefutable (See <http://bit.ly/2fOxbEJ>). If these Philly agencies signed contracts and were using our software, the government's case would have been completely destroyed. Obtaining an indictment would have also been impossible since Kirsch alleged in the indictment that staffing companies were duped into doing business with us based on alleged false statements about a "current or impending" contract with a large law enforcement agency. With his indictment in jeopardy, AUSA Matthew Kirsch contacted Philly Inspector General Amy Kurland and told her an indictment was coming. How do we know that? Because Ms. Kurland's secretary made it clear, that if Kirsch had not told her an indictment was coming, she would have still done business with the IRP5. You will see an email from the Chief Investigator of Philly IG's, Lorelei Larson tell one of the defendants they were very excited about the venture taking off.

You will also see Ms. Kurland setting up a meeting with Philly Mayor Michael Nutter so he could thank IRP Solutions for eliminating the upfront costs of licensing and initial implementation for CILC which made it possible for the city to purchase the software. The same for the Philly Police Department with regards to search warrant module which was ready to implement after only two weeks of receiving their search warrant when IBM had spent years and overran a \$4.7 million budget to modernize some of their enforcement systems and couldn't figure out how to build and integrate a digital search warrant module.

Lamont Banks, Executive Director of A Just Cause states, the benefit to providing upfront software licensing at no cost to the IG's office was exposure of the CILC software to the many agencies who participate in the multi-agency/jurisdictional investigations conducted by the IG. They would all be using CILC as part of the joint collaborative investigative team of the IG. The ability to market CILC to other agencies through the IG would have certainly resulted in future business. Furthermore, Philly PD was introducing me to many other senior officials who wanted to use other CILC modules for other PPD investigative and enforcement functions. Those would have been paid engagements. The Director of IT for Philly PD told the FBI in an interview which is documented that CILC looked exactly like what PPD was looking to purchase and he canceled business with IRP after finding out about the government's case. Once again, as had happened with DHS and NYPD, our business with Philly was ruined by the FBI and Colorado U.S. Attorney's Office. Then AUSA Kirsch went into court and falsely presented IRP and CILC as a scam to the jury to get a conviction.

That is why, as was reported in the Post, that Judge Sarokin said the government's contention that IRP and CILC was a scam defies reality. This was a horrible injustice perpetrated by federal justice officials from the DOJ and the courts. It is absurd to believe that we could have fooled all these major law enforcement agencies with scam software. And why would we, as Judge Sarokin pointed out, choose law enforcement as targets of a scam business? Certainly, there were better targets to perpetrate a scam against if we were criminals seeking to bilk staffing companies out of money. The money paid by staffing companies went directly to their employees who submitted signed timesheets to them. This case has so many instances of government misconduct it is absolutely mind-boggling. A Just Cause have only mentioned a few here. All the government's allegations are beyond absurd. Judge Sarokin saw this because he reviewed the entire case. This case just doesn't make sense and what you are witnessing is a mass government cover-up, with the latest being Judge Arguello unlawfully denying access to court records in Walker's illegitimate habeas proceeding.

A Just Cause wanted to send this information to share with you and your editors. If you don't want to take this story, please socialize it with the many people you know in the media business.

We must fight to have this story told and this horrible injustice exposed to America!

Sincerely

*Lamont Banks
A Just Cause - Executive Director
Phone: 719-439-5951
Email: lbanks@ajustcause.com*